



GLOBAL BIOANALYSIS CONSORTIUM

Team S2 Update for the EBF Focus Meeting
Steve White for the S2 Team
13 June 2012

N. America	L. America	Europe	Asia-Pacific
B. Duncan	G. Marcelín	B. Lausecker	A. Sharma
P. Bennett	M. Vago	S. White	K. Togashi
R. Hayes			R. Trivedi
E. Woolf (Lead)			



Scope of Discussions

- Results to be Presented Today
 - System Equilibration
 - Selectivity with respect to Hemolyzed and Lipemic Samples
 - Carryover and contamination
 - Matrix Effects
- Additional Topics on Which Consensus was Reached
 - Sensitivity
 - Specificity/Selectivity
 - Recovery
 - Preparation of Calibrators
- Topics Discussed by Other Teams on Which Consensus was Reached
 - Sample Reinjections/Anomalous Results/Failed Runs (Team S1 will Present)
 - Impact of Salt/Counter Ion Changes (Team S1 will Present)
 - Internal Standard Variability (Team S3 will Present)

System Equilibration

(System Suitability covered by S1 Team)

- Never use unanalyzed test samples
- Do not use a sample that could be substituted in official run (std 1, low qc, etc.)
- Use pooled samples, yesterdays samples, purpose generated (should not be at assay std or qc conc.)
- Assess adequate equilibration through precision of sequential injections of the test sample.
 - Precision should be stated in assay protocol
- Not an absolute requirement for an assay
- All injections made prior to injection of a batch need to be documented in the study file

Selectivity

(Hemolyzed and Lipemic Samples)

- Analytical method should be able to differentiate the analyte(s) of interest and IS from endogenous components in the matrix or other components in the sample.
- Selectivity should be proved using at least 6 individual sources of the appropriate blank matrix, which are individually analyzed and evaluated for interference.
 - **Include 1 hemolyzed lot.**
 - Generally a hyperlipidemic lot should be included only for clinical assays unless a special population of animals is employed.
 - What happens if one lot fails
 - start with 6 lots, if a lot fails, increase to 10 lots and then 90% need to meet acceptance
- Definition of lack of interference
 - 20% analyte LLOQ/5% istd? How to calculate?
 - lack of impact in quantitation at LLOQ. As part of validation run LLOQ in multiple lots.
- Hemolyzed/hyperlipidemic definitions
 - Hemolyzed matrix: 2% whole blood in plasma.
 - Hyperlipidemic matrix: control plasma to which a commercial lipid product; Intralipid IV (available from Sigma-Aldrich), is added to give a lipid level (20 mg/mL) which is 10x borderline high
 - Multiple degrees? – no, work at extremes
 - Internal standard response should be monitored as a diagnostic during sample analysis

Carryover and Contamination

- Carryover vs. contamination
 - carryover is a response caused by injection process and is variable during run (depending on previous sample)
 - contamination is a response caused by other factors (sample collection and processing) and may be in the sample when received at the BA lab
- Carryover needs to be understood and minimized during assay development
 - assay range may need to be adjusted to minimize carryover impact
- Need to differentiate contamination from endogenous in the case of a naturally occurring analyte
- Methodology to assess carryover
 - injecting blank after high standard may give a response that cannot be easily integrated, especially if LLOQ signal is already at $s/n=5$
 - injection of an LLOQ sample after a high standard is a better approach to assess carryover impact
 - acceptance criteria may be either a fixed %difference of response or a variable difference based on the precision of the assay as assessed during validation - **input sought**

Carryover and Contamination (cont.)

- Carryover should be assessed during each run, minimally twice, once at the beginning and once at the end of the run
 - carryover test failure causes impact assessment rather than outright run rejection
 - use average LLOQ response if duplicate std curves run
- Should there be a recommended injection order to minimize impact of carryover
 - standards low to high, samples in sequence of collection. For preclinical work, inject in ascending dose order in order of collection
- Contamination (peaks in blank) should trigger an automatic investigation.
 - Acceptance of run results should be dependent on results of investigation

Matrix Effects

- Assessment required for MS assays
- Assessment should be based on internal standard normalized results
 - absolute effect is not relevant if variability is compensated for by internal standard
- Causes and reduction should be studied during method development and processes to reduce/minimize should be developed
- Number of lots for assessment
 - pre-clinical
 - male/female/hemolyzed (female pre-clinical matrix may be dependent upon time during menstrual cycle plasma was collected)
 - strain/age agnostic
 - clinical
 - 2M, 2F, 1 Hemo, 1 lipemic (use scientific judgment to determine if additional is needed)
- Procedure for assessment and acceptance criteria
 - variability of analyte/internal std ratio across lots
 - 15% CV acceptance
 - perform assessment at low and high concentrations



Matrix Effects (cont.)

- Impact of dosing vehicles
 - monitor during sample analysis and use best scientific judgment
- Impact of analog vs. stable label istds
 - stable label assays generally less prone to effects
 - use of analog may dictate need to assess absolute matrix effect of analyte and istd to determine if similar or different
- Metabolite and/or co-med impact
 - issues should manifest during selectivity exps. No need for multiple lots
- Regularly assess effects during routine analysis
 - through istd variability
- No need for specific phospholipid assessment during validation
 - should be assessed during method development
- Calculations
 - relative ME= variability of the ratio of analyte to internal std across the different lots
 - absolute ME= ratio of analyte response in post extract controls vs. neat